# STUDIES FOR THE CONSERVATION OF THE MONUMENTAL SCULPTURES IN TERRACOTA FROM THE MONASTERY OF ALCOBAÇA (PORTUGAL): PROJECT TACELO

João Coroado\*; Ricardo Triães\*; Cecília Gil\*\*; Eduardo Ferraz\*; Fernando Rocha\*\*\* \* Instituto Politécnico de Tomar/GEOBIOTEC; Tomar; Portugal

\* DGPC - Mosteiro de Alcobaca, Alcobaca; Portugal

\* Departamento de Geociências/GEOBIOTEC, Universidade de Aveiro; Aveiro; Portugal

## Introduction

The Monastery of Alcobaça is the first example of gothic architecture in Portugal, being classified by UNESCO as World Heritage since 1987. On the inside several sets of monumental terracotta sculpture are kept, with approximately 2m height (Fig. 1 - 4). Those represent part of the significant ceramic production madthroughout time in the region





Fig 1 – Our Lady of the Assumption

#### Motivation

Due to several factors that naturally occur along time, like environmental ones, as well as due to negligence, vandalism or misguided actions, these sets of sculptures were severely altered. The majority of them were moved from their original locations or kept in storage areas. Within this context, several institutions became interested in moving forward with a project that would aim to mitigate the degradation presented by some of the sculptures, as well as to study those same sculptures in different domains; artistic, historical, environmental, physicochemical, etc... Resulting from all these wills, it was created the project "TACELO: Studies for the conservation of the monumental terracotta sculptures from de Monastery of Alcobaca"

### Diagnosis

The most relevant problems arising from the materials alteration and alterability, and concerning the terracotta under layer, are the evident loss of significant parts of the sculptures, the loss of terracotta through flaking and low cohesion on the surfaces and the existence of cracks and fractures due to the material nature or fabrication processes (Fig. 5). These problems are mainly consequences of human action (either during dismantling and assembling the sculptures, through vandalism or even as a result of the lack of conservative actions), of water absorption by capillarity and of the exposure to extreme environmental conditions.

Other relevant aspect identified in these sculptures is related with the lack of adhesion between layers. resulting from the materials' differences in behavior and the alterations they suffered. The original polychrome layer is covered with a white layer, already very altered too. The sets conservation condition is worrying since many of the observed problems are a consequence of the

terracotta under layer conservation, which is putting the sculpture in risk



Fig. 5 - A) Loss of material by dustiness; B) Loss of a hand; C) Craquelures; D) Fractures; E) Flaking









Fig. 3 – Cistercian and Benedictine saints

## Materials and methods

The conservation and restoration intervention intended implies the full knowledge of the terracotta conservation condition, so samples were collected covering all the different situations observed within the set, where enough sampling material was available.

Fig. 4 – Transit of st. Bernard. altarniece

The analyses considered for this study involved mineralogical characterization by X-Ray Diffraction and the chemical characterization by X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometry. Physical tests were also performed, like open porosity, water absorption and specific gravity.

#### Results

The samples mineralogy is very similar and fundamental constituted by quartz (SiO2) and calcite (CaCO3), which represent the phases that occur with more intensity, except for ALC 1 and ALC 4, where gehlenite occur (Ca2Al2SiO7), and illite/muscovite is practically untraceable. Gehlenite results from the thermal transformation between calcite and aluminosilicates when the firing temperature is at least over 850°C. As secondary phases phyllossilicates as muscovite (KAl3Si3O10(OH,F)2) were observed, as well as goethite ( $\alpha$ -FeO(OH)) and potassium feldspar(KAlSi3O8). In sample ALC 6 the presence of kaolinite was observed (Al2Si2O5(OH)4) (Fig. 6).

Fig. 6 – Diffractograms of the samples collected from the sculptures kept in the Monastery's storage. Q-Quartz; I/M-Illite/muscovite; K-Kaolinite; C-Calcite; M-Melilite(gehlenite); G-goethite

50										
Raiz Quardrada da Internsidade 8 8 6 6	ALCE	I/M	K UN	MM g	AL N	M C	a	c cc	Q N	. 1
-8 30 -8	ALC4	Land And	Martin Martin	NUM	NAU	MA	AN WY	A MANY	1 mm	Wheel
Quadra	ALC3	Trington	-	sha	And	1. wh	and and	numum	hand	halfte
Zi 20	ALCI	the loss	-	July	will	J.M	14144	www.w	And man	Handling
	4	M.	-	Mark	1 Mil	In	~		to nye and the	And see
				1. 14. 1	·	1 M 1	41 44	V VVV	and and the second	NoN Your
10 -		and the second	ALC: NOT THE OWNER	meller.	MAAA A	1 N	M-1-1	4.41.	Tall.	
			No. of Street, or other	w.Marr	Mhan .	"harv	Vin	man	lin	m.
10 0	2	10	Nite State of the	20	si VVV	"have	40	Marth	so so	20 60
0	OSTRA	10 SiO <sub>2</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>		50 CaO				50 TiO <sub>2</sub>	20 <sup>60</sup> P.R.
0			Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (%)	20 Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (%)		MgO (%)	40 K <sub>2</sub> O (%)	Na <sub>2</sub> O (%)		20
AMO		SiO <sub>2</sub>		Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	CaO	MgO	K <sub>2</sub> O	Na <sub>2</sub> O	TiO <sub>2</sub>	20 P.R.
AMO	OSTRA	SiO2 (%)	(%)	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (%)	CaO (%)	MgO (%)	K2O (%)	Na <sub>2</sub> O (%)	TiO₂ (%)	20 P.R. (%)
AMC	OSTRA	<b>SiO</b> 2 (%) 46,18	<b>(%)</b> 19,08	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (%) 5,92	CaO (%) 17,74	MgO (%) 1,94	K <sub>2</sub> O (%) 3,44	Na <sub>2</sub> O (%) 0,23	TiO <sub>2</sub> (%) 0.65	<sup>20</sup> P.R. (%) 4,34
AMC AC AC	OSTRA CL1 CL2	SiO <sub>2</sub> (%) 46,18 39,10	<b>(%)</b> 19,08 16,34	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (%) 5,92 4,28	CaO (%) 17,74 16,08	MgO (%) 1,94 2,47	K2O (%) 3,44 2,61	Na <sub>2</sub> O (%) 0,23 0,18	TiO₂ (%) 0.65 0,52	20 P.R. (%) 4,34 17,57
	OSTRA CL1 CL2 CL3	SiO <sub>2</sub> (%) 46,18 39,10 52,04	(%) 19,08 16,34 18,24	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (%) 5,92 4,28 5,05	CaO (%) 17,74 16,08 14,68	MgO (%) 1,94 2,47 1,65	K2O (%) 3,44 2,61 2,82	Na2O (%) 0,23 0,18 0,20	TiO₂ (%) 0.65 0,52 0,73	P.R. (%) 4,34 17,57 12,30

a c

#### Conclusions

From the results already obtained, one can conclude that despite the sculptures being from diferente sets, the clays provenience is similar and local. They are essentially calcitic clays (illite-kaolinite) (Table 1). The production is local, made trough complete modeling. After being modeled they were sectioned in "tacelos". in order to make handling, drying and firing easier. The firing was made in ovens that didn't allowed homogeneous temperatures, since some of the tacelos are properly fired, with the presence of new chrystallographic phases like gehlenite, that occurs in temperatures over 850°C, and others weren't, with the presence of argillaceous phases like kaolinite, that collapses in temperatures over 550°C. From the diagnosis one can conclude that the conservation conditions in some of the sculptures is not problematic, although some of the sculptures in the chapter house, for example, present some ver damaged "tacelos", that put in risk the entire sculpture. Some of the sculptures in storage present a high state of fragmentation that implies time to proceed to their reconstitution and restoration

## Acknowledgments

This study was developed under the project "TACELO: Estudos para a conservação das esculturas monumentais em terracota do mosteiro de Alcobaça" (PTDC/CTE-GIX/111825/2009) financed by FEDER, Programa Operacional Fatores de Competitividade – COMPETE e Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia.









Table 1 – Chemical composition obtained by XRF, of the samples collected from the sculptures kept in the Monastery's storage